1 PROCEEDINGS 2 3 THE COURT: The court calls the case of United 4 States versus Matthew J. Holland, Case Number 1:11cr70. 15:16:28 5 This case is scheduled for trial, I believe, for July 25. 6 7 The court published an opinion yesterday 8 denying the defendant's motion for, in effect, a second 9 suppression hearing, and from my standpoint, the case is ready for trial, unless there's going to be some separate 15:17:02 10 11 disposition. Mr. Serrat? 12 MR. SERRAT: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 I've had discussions with my client as a 14 result of the court's ruling. My client has yet to see me. 15:17:18 15 I thought I was going to have an opportunity to talk to him 16 and I didn't. I went to CCA this morning at 8:30. I quess 17 he was already here and I missed him. 18 Lo and -- then I was going to have time, but I 19 had a sentencing. 15:17:30 20 THE COURT: I am perfectly okay to delay this 21 for half an hour, if you want to go up to the marshal's 2.2 office and review it with him. 23 MR. SERRAT: Before that, Judge, in 24 anticipating that, I've had some communications with the U.S. Attorney's Office yesterday, I think afternoon, tried 15:17:40 25

1 to figure out exactly where it is that they think my client 2 is going to be, and I didn't know whether I was going to 3 have sufficient time to discuss that with him. I'm worried 4 about the third level for acceptance of responsibility if he changes his mind. And I was trying to figure out if he 15:17:57 5 would give me a day or two to make that final decision. 6 7 I don't know whether a half hour would do it 8 or not. And I think -- Monday was thrown out -- up in the 9 air by --15:18:12 10 THE COURT: I am sorry, what was thrown out? 11 MR. SERRAT: Up until Monday to make the 12 decision or schedule a change of plea on that day. And 13 that's what we were discussing before the court came in. 14 And I don't know if Mr. Tripi has made up his 15:18:27 15 mind or not. I also asked him the same question yesterday 16 and we had that same discussion yesterday as well. I now 17 think I have a pretty good idea where the government thinks 18 my client is going to be as far as the level computation. 19 That will be later on for sentencing, certainly not at the 15:18:43 20 time of plea. 21 Again, I really would like some time to talk 22 this over with my client. I thought I was going to have all 23 morning and I didn't. 24 THE COURT: I realize that. Can I see the 15:18:52 25 scheduling book, please? There comes a point where counsel

needs to know whether they're going to go to trial or if there's some other disposition. I am fairly reluctant to keep continuing this. I was hopeful possibly that I certainly have more time here yet today for you all to discuss this. It's only 3:20. I guess I am interested in what the government's position is.

MR. TRIPI: Judge, I just wanted to let the court know that I've provided Mr. Serrat with the calculations, which I can again provide him with the guidelines that his client would be exposed to if he chooses to plead, and we've also written up a small addendum, written addendum in the event he wants to enter a conditional plea.

So the status of the discussions are that we are willing, if we have a timely plea, to consider still granting him the third level and give him a conditional change of plea.

The offer that's on the table right now is, simplistically, that the defendant would have an ability to plead straight up to the four counts of the indictment, and that we would -- if he was pleading today, I talked to my cocounsel, Mr. Serrano, and we both decided that the third level would be appropriate if the plea was timely and was coming today.

Mr. Serrat had advised me he was hoping to

15:19:47 5

15:20:25 15

15:20:42 20

15:21:02 25

15:22:22 25

15:21:16 5

15:21:36 10

15:21:51 15

15:22:09 20

talk to him today, and we do have some time if the court wants to grant us a slight recess.

Our major problem is, you know, because it's a victim case and there's individuals that will have to be contacted, we would prefer not to have to wait and/or also bring up all the emotions connected with this with the victims. There's some trial prep that if we find out midweek next week, there's no way we can get it ready for the 25th. If the trial date was continued and we changed the 25th --

THE COURT: Let me assure you, one thing I'm not going to do is continue the trial.

MR. TRIPI: Again, we would rather wait today and give Mr. Serrat an opportunity to talk to him right now, and to see if we can resolve the matter today, than to postpone it to another day.

If we can't, though, Your Honor, if the court is of the mind to give him a day or two, I would prefer not to have it -- I would prefer to have it set as an actual date for a potential change of plea, so that it's not a matter of him communicating and us waiting for the 25th, because we have things we would have to do to get ready for the trial.

We've already filed jury instructions and voir dire, but the final witness prep would have to take place,

1 and final marking of exhibits. 2 THE COURT: Does the institution come to pick up the defendant? 3 4 DEPUTY MARSHAL: Yes. They're here. They're They've been here for the day. 15:22:40 5 standing by. THE COURT: They're anxious to get going, 6 7 obviously? 8 DEPUTY MARSHAL: They are. THE COURT: I can understand that. 9 Let's do this: I will delay it until 4:00. 15:22:48 10 11 Please take the defendant back to the marshal's office and 12 let Mr. Serrat speak with him. 13 And I want to address the defendant. 14 question of whether you decide to plead guilty or go to 15:23:11 15 trial is absolutely your choice. And certainly, you can 16 listen to what Mr. Serrat has to say by way of his advice, 17 but in the final analysis, it's your decision, and were I to 18 take your -- if you decide to plead quilty, I'll spend a 19 considerable amount of time in the process taking your 15:23:33 20 quilty plea, explaining your various rights, making certain 21 that there's a factual basis for the plea, explain to you 22 the sentencing consequences if you plead guilty. And that 23 process takes me about half an hour to go through it. 24 So let's do this: You meet with Mr. Serrat, and if I am told at 4:00 that you're not prepared to enter a 15:23:51 25

1	plea of guilty today, I'm going to schedule the case for
2	trial. I'm not going to schedule another meeting for you to
3	enter a guilty plea. You've got 35 minutes to make up your
4	mind.
15:24:05 5	Court will be in recess until 4:00.
6	(Thereupon, a recess was had.)
7	THE COURT: Let the record show that we are in
8	court in the case of United States versus Matthew Holland,
9	Case Number 1:11cr70.
15:58:37 10	I have been informed that it is the defendant,
11	Matthew Holland's present intention to enter a plea of
12	guilty to the counts charging him with bank robbery in the
13	indictment returned in Case Number 1:11cr70.
14	Mr. Holland, is that correct, that your
15:58:57 15	present intention is to enter a plea of guilty?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Now, before I can accept your
18	plea, there are a number of matters I need to review with
19	you, and it will take me probably close to half an hour
15:59:09 20	before I am finished. And I want you to understand the fact
21	that you've told me that you now intend to plead guilty does
22	not require you now to plead guilty if you change your mind
23	during our discussion.
24	Do you understand?
15:59:24 25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Also, if at any time you have any
2	questions about the instructions I'm giving you,
3	explanations that I'm giving you, I want you to feel free to
4	say to me, "Judge, I don't understand."
15:59:36 5	Will you do that?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
7	THE COURT: Now, I want to examine you under
8	oath with the understanding that if you tell me something
9	under oath that you know is false, you're subject to being
15:59:47 10	prosecuted for perjury or making a false statement.
11	Do you understand that?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
13	THE COURT: And I would ask you to please take
14	the oath.
15:59:53 15	CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
16	(Defendant sworn.)
17	THE COURT: Would you please state your full
18	name?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Matthew John Holland.
16:00:05 20	THE COURT: And, Mr. Holland, before you were
21	incarcerated, where did you live?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Cleveland, Ohio.
23	THE COURT: The address?
24	THE DEFENDANT: 2252 West 25th.
16:00:18 25	THE COURT: And how old are you?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Thirty years old.
2	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
3	THE DEFENDANT: College.
4	THE COURT: Do you have some college?
16:00:27 5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
6	THE COURT: I assume then that you understand
7	I assume English is your native language?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
9	THE COURT: If at any time I use words today
16:00:40 10	that you don't understand, would you please so indicate to
11	me?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: As you are here today, are you
14	under the influence of any drugs of abuse or intoxicating
16:00:52 15	beverages?
16	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
17	THE COURT: In your mind, do you suffer from
18	any mental disabilities of any sort?
19	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
16:00:58 20	THE COURT: I want to begin by explaining the
21	constitutional rights to which you are entitled in this
22	case. My guess is you may know some of them, but I'm
23	required to instruct you on those constitutional rights.
24	The first constitutional right to which you
16:01:19 25	are entitled in this case is to be represented by counsel.

1	Now, Mr. Serrat, your counsel, has the
2	obligation to represent you throughout these proceedings.
3	If you plead guilty or are found guilty, he has a continuing
4	obligation to represent you throughout the sentencing
16:01:35 5	proceedings.
6	Do you understand?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
8	THE COURT: All right. Now, the next
9	constitutional right that you in effect give up if you plead
16:01:42 10	guilty is your constitutional right to trial by jury.
11	Do you understand you have such a
12	constitutional right?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
14	THE COURT: Do you understand were you to
16:01:52 15	stand trial, we will impanel a jury. They would sit over
16	there in the jury box and they would be instructed about the
17	elements of the crime with which you're charged. They would
18	be further instructed that the government had to prove each
19	of the elements of the crime by proof beyond a reasonable
16:02:11 20	doubt, and if they failed to do that, they should find you
21	not guilty.
22	Do you understand?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
24	THE COURT: Now, I would like to take you
16:02:18 25	through the elements of the first count of bank robbery,

1	because I think the elements are similar in all the counts.
2	So do we have a copy of the indictment that we can place in
3	front of Mr. Holland, please?
4	If you don't have a copy, we can get you one.
16:02:50 5	I am sure it's hard to find it.
6	MR. SERRAT: I have one.
7	THE COURT: But I want Mr. Holland to have one
8	in front of him when I review it with him.
9	Phil, do you have a copy?
16:03:14 10	MR. TRIPI: One second, Your Honor.
11	I am sorry, I do not seem to have an extra
12	copy.
13	THE COURT: Can you print one here?
14	CLERK: Yes. I just have to get in the case
16:03:38 15	here.
16	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Holland. I want to
17	review with you the elements of the crime charged in Count
18	1, and I think Count 1, Counts 3, 4 and 5 are similar. The
19	dates changed, but otherwise, I believe the elements are the
16:04:37 20	same.
21	So let's look at Count 1. And the first
22	element of the crime is what I call time and place. You
23	will see it charges that the offense occurred on or about
24	November 13, 2010, in the Northern District of Ohio, Eastern
16:04:51 25	Division. That's the so-called time and place.

1	So the government has to prove that the
2	offense that's further alleged took place on or about that
3	time in that geographical area.
4	Do you understand?
16:05:05 5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
6	THE COURT: That's Count that's element
7	number 1.
8	The defendants herein, after they've named
9	you, did, by force and violence and by intimidation, take
16:05:15 10	and cause to be taken from the person and presence of
11	tellers and other employees at the U.S. Bank, 2132 Brookpark
12	Road.
13	So the next thing that the government has to
14	prove is that you engaged in some kind of conduct that
16:05:35 15	indicated that you were using force or violence or
16	intimidation to overcome the will of the teller so the
17	teller would deliver to you the money of the bank.
18	Do you understand?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16:05:47 20	THE COURT: Any questions about that?
21	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
22	THE COURT: Then the government has to prove
23	that the U.S. Bank had its deposits insured by the Federal
24	Deposit Insurance Corporation. The government doesn't have
16:06:07 25	to prove that you knew that, but the government must prove

1	that, or otherwise, the court doesn't have jurisdiction to
2	consider the other elements of the crime.
3	Do you understand?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
16:06:17 5	THE COURT: And, of course, the government has
6	to prove that the monies that were recovered by the use
7	of violence or intimidation or force were the monies
8	belonging to and in the care, custody and control of the
9	bank.
16:06:35 10	Do you understand?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: The reason that's important, let's
13	say you walked into the bank and there's somebody in line at
14	the bank, and you say, "Sir, I am holding you up. Give me
16:06:45 15	your money." That would not be bank robbery. That would be
16	robbery of the person in line, and probably this court would
17	not have jurisdiction over that robbery.
18	So the government has to prove that the monies
19	actually were in the custody of the bank and that those
16:06:59 20	monies were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance
21	Corporation.
22	Do you see and understand?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
24	THE COURT: Do you have any question about the
16:07:08 25	elements of the crime charged in Count 1?

1	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
2	THE COURT: Now, I believe Counts you're
3	not named in Count 2, but Counts 3, 4 and 5 are similar,
4	except the dates changed and the banks changed.
16:07:23 5	Mr. Tripi, correct me if I am wrong. I think
6	the elements are the same with those additional counts?
7	MR. TRIPI: The elements are the same. He is
8	charged the proof would be more that he's an aider and
9	abettor as to two of the four counts, and that he was the
16:07:34 10	principal robber of two of the counts.
11	THE COURT: All right. Well, from a
12	standpoint of liability for the offense or for his guilt,
13	whether he's a principal or aider and abettor, it doesn't
14	make any difference, as I understand it.
16:07:50 15	MR. TRIPI: Yes, sir. The statute provides
16	that he be treated the same way as the principal if he's an
17	aider and abettor.
18	THE COURT: Now, Mr. Holland, do you feel you
19	understand the nature of the crimes charged in Counts 1, 3,
16:08:02 20	4 and 5?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: And do you understand you have a
23	constitutional right to have the question of your guilt or
24	innocence determined by a jury?
16:08:10 25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Now, understanding that, in this
2	particular case, do you give up your constitutional right to
3	have this case determined by a jury?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
16:08:18 5	THE COURT: The next constitutional right we
6	call the constitutional right of confrontation.
7	And what that means is were you to stand trial
8	and were the government to proceed with its testimony, the
9	government would have to call the persons upon whom it
16:08:35 10	depends for its case against you, such as the tellers at the
11	bank, and after the government concludes its examination of
12	that witness or witnesses, Mr. Serrat, as your lawyer, would
13	have the opportunity to cross-examine and challenge the
14	testimony of each witness that the government would present.
16:08:54 15	Do you understand that?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: That's what we mean by the
18	constitutional right of confrontation.
19	Now, if you plead guilty, you in effect give
16:09:01 20	up your constitutional right to confront the witnesses in
21	this case.
22	Do you understand that?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Is that what you wish to do in
16:09:08 25	this case?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
2	THE COURT: The next constitutional right that
3	is important has to do with the fact that were you to stand
4	trial, the one witness that the government could not call in
16:09:21 5	its case against you is you. They're not allowed to call
6	you as a witness, because you have a constitutional right to
7	remain silent, and that means you cannot be called as a
8	witness by the government.
9	Do you understand?
16:09:33 10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
11	THE COURT: And when it came time for you to
12	put your case on, it would be for you to decide whether to
13	testify or not to testify.
14	Do you understand that?
16:09:44 15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
16	THE COURT: Now, understanding now, if you
17	understand that, I want you to also understand, if you plead
18	guilty as you've indicated that you're planning to do, you
19	in effect testify against yourself by your plea of guilty.
16:09:58 20	Do you understand that?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
22	THE COURT: Understanding that, do you wish to
23	still go forward with your decision to plead guilty?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
16:10:03 25	THE COURT: Now, another constitutional right

1	that you would have at the time of trial is to have
2	witnesses subpoenaed to come in and testify for you in your
3	defense.
4	Do you understand that?
16:10:15 5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Now, I now want to shift gears and
7	talk about the sentencing consequences if you plead guilty.
8	And I believe and I would ask the counsel for the
9	government to correct me if I am wrong, but I believe that
16:10:28 10	the statutory penalty for Counts 1, 3, 4 and 5 is the same.
11	MR. TRIPI: That is correct.
12	THE COURT: And what is the maximum sentence
13	that could be imposed upon the defendant?
14	MR. TRIPI: Maximum sentence on the defendant
16:10:38 15	would be up to 20 years on each count of incarceration, up
16	to a \$250,000 fine, or both, up to three years' supervised
17	release to follow any period of incarceration.
18	The defendant is subject to a \$100 special
19	assessment as to each count, and the government would be
16:11:00 20	seeking restitution for the amount taken from each of the
21	four banks.
22	THE COURT: Do you understand what they mean
23	by restitution, Mr. Holland?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
16:11:06 25	THE COURT: Do you understand this concept of

1	supervised release? Because that's basically new. New in
2	the sense that when I first came on this court back in 1982,
3	if I sentenced somebody to prison, that's the last I saw of
4	them. I didn't have to worry about that person coming back
16:11:29 5	before me if that person violated the conditions of
6	supervised release because it didn't exist at that time.
7	But when the Congress adopted sentencing
8	guidelines, they also decided to do away with the parole
9	board, and they said to us judges, "Guess what, you're now
16:11:45 10	the parole board."
11	So when a person is on supervised release
12	after the person has served the period of incarceration, if
13	that person violates one or more conditions of supervised
14	release, he or she is subject to being sent back to prison,
16:12:01 15	even though they served the original term, if the court
16	finds a violation.
17	Do you understand?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
19	THE COURT: Any questions about that?
16:12:08 20	THE DEFENDANT: No.
21	THE COURT: All right. Now, having said all
22	that, now what becomes important is the application of the
23	sentencing table.
24	Mr. Serrat, do you have a copy?
16:12:21 25	MR. SERRAT: I do, Judge.

1	THE COURT: All right. Now, the sentencing
2	has also changed over the years, Mr. Holland. When the
3	sentencing guidelines first became effective in the late
4	'80s, they were called mandatory, which meant the judge had
16:12:38 5	to decide where the defendant fit on the sentencing table
6	which is in front of you.
7	And then the judge had to sentence within the
8	range that was determined. And in order to determine what
9	the range is, the court has to make two calculations, what
16:12:56 10	is the offense level. You see that phrase up there at the
11	top, "Offense Level"?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
13	THE COURT: It goes from 1 all the way down to
14	43. And across the top, it says "Criminal History Category
16:13:07 15	(Criminal History Points)."
16	So the court has to decide what the criminal
17	history category is of the defendant. Even if the defendant
18	has no criminal history record, he would still be a Criminal
19	History Category I, which seems kind of stupid, but a person
16:13:20 20	who has no record is still going to be Criminal History
21	Category I.
22	Do you see and understand?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: And then based on the number of
16:13:27 25	convictions, why then the criminal history category can go

1	from left to right across the table up to a higher criminal
2	history category.
3	Do you see and understand that?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
16:13:38 5	THE COURT: Now, after the court has decided
6	what the offense level is, the court has the power to engage
7	in what's called a departure. Departure means the judge
8	says, "Well, I'm going to depart above the range that I've
9	determined and sentence the defendant to more months than
16:13:58 10	that range provides," and that's called an upward departure.
11	Where the judge does that, the defendant may
12	appeal to the Court of Appeals and say, "Well, the judge got
13	it wrong. There wasn't a basis for an upward departure even
14	if he calculated correctly the guidelines.
16:14:16 15	Do you understand?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: By the same token, if the court
18	says, "Well, I think the low number is too high, I'm going
19	to depart downward and give it a lower sentence," now the
16:14:27 20	government can appeal and challenge the downward departure
21	of the trial judge.
22	Do you understand?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
24	THE COURT: Now it gets even more complicated,
16:14:37 25	because recently the Supreme Court has decided this

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

16:15:55 25

16:14:56 5

16:15:11 10

16:15:25 15

16:15:42 20

sentencing table is advisory and not mandatory, but we still have to go through the process and determine where the defendant fits on the table; but now, the court has the discretion to say, "Well, I'm going to vary up above the high number or down below the low number." So it's an upward variance or a downward variance. So a sentencing is more complicated now than it used to be.

Now what I would like to do is have you tell me where a person fits on the table if I give you the offense level and the criminal history category. So I want you to look at the table. I want you to assume that I have to sentence somebody today who has an Offense Level 24 and a Criminal History Category Roman numeral III.

Where does that person fit on the table?
THE DEFENDANT: It's 63 to 78 months.

THE COURT: Very well. That's correct. So that's where I would be.

Now, I want to digress and ask counsel if you have some view of what the offense level will be if the defendant enters a plea of guilty as he proposes to do, and I want you to understand what I'm told here now is not final. I have to make the final decision as to where you fit on the table.

But I would like to know what counsel believes as to where you would fit on the table, so I'm going to ask

counsel for the government to proceed first.

MR. TRIPI: Your Honor, based on not only this case, but the codefendant, and what was submitted to probation on the other defendant, Mr. Persa, we have a pretty good understanding of where we believe probation will recommend the guidelines to be.

As to Count 1, the defendant's base offense level under 2B3.1 of the United States Sentencing Guidelines will be a level 20, and that because the property was taken from a financial institution, you increase the 20 by two levels.

As to Count 1, there's an additional two-level increase that's proposed, and I understand that the defendant -- for both defendants that counsel intend to argue about the appropriateness of this one. But that a demand note was used that indicated that he had a gun, and that if a threat of death is made, then the guidelines would be increased by two more additional levels.

There are no other adjustments, so for Count 1, the final offense level would be 24.

So Count 3, the offense level only has the additional two levels for the fact that it was the property of a financial institution, and probation is likely to determine that that is a level 22.

For Count 4, again, there's the additional two

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

16:16:10 5

16:16:31 10

21

16:17:06 20

18

19

24

23

16:17:26 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

16:17:51 5

16:18:08 10

16:18:32 15

16:18:52 20

16:19:10 25

levels not only for the financial institution, but for the threat of death that was implicitly made by the use of the note that stated, "I have a gun. Stay calm and nobody gets hurt," for a final offense level of 24.

In Count 5, again, there's only one adjustment. That's the two levels for financial institution. So Count 4 -- I'm sorry, Count 5 would be an offense level of 22.

As the court's aware, pursuant to the multiple-count adjustment guidelines, Section 3D1.4, these four offenses are not grouped, and they're each treated as a separate offense or separate group.

Because they're within two levels of each other, each group was graded to have an additional -- count as one unit, and the guidelines tell us that when there are a total of four units, that the offense -- the greatest adjusted offense level, which in this case would be 24, would be increased by four levels, so that the combined adjusted offense level is 28.

Your Honor, because of the defendant's plea and provided the defendant continues to express acceptance of responsibility to probation and throughout to the sentencing, the defendant would be entitled to two levels for acceptance of responsibility.

Pursuant to our earlier representations to

1 defense counsel, that the United States would consider this 2 to be a timely plea made and that the -- we intend to ask for an additional reduction of one level, which we're 3 4 allowed to do, for a total of three levels off from 28, that would be an adjusted offense level of 25. 16:19:33 5 Does the court care for me to go into the 6 7 possibility of criminal history category? 8 THE COURT: Well, I don't know if you have 9 some estimate of what you think the criminal history 16:19:48 10 category might be. 11 MR. TRIPI: Your Honor, this is a difficult 12 case because of the number of convictions, and I believe his 13 previous counsel had asked for a pre-plea/presentence report 14 to have probation give us a better sense. 16:20:02 15 THE COURT: Was that done here? 16 MR. TRIPI: That was not done here. So we --17 from the government's perspective, it appears that the 18 defendant may be in Criminal History Category IV, which 19 would be a sentencing range of 84 to 105 months at a level 25. 16:20:20 20 21 Your Honor, there was an outside chance that 2.2 the defendant would be a career offender. Mr. Serrat and 23 Mr. Serrano and I have discussed this issue before, and we 24 believe because of the offenses, priors was a breaking and 16:20:39 25 entering, that it's probably not going to qualify. We would

1 have to have some very unusual facts --2 THE COURT: To elevate it to a career offender? 3 4 MR. TRIPI: Excuse me, sir? THE COURT: You would have to have something 16:20:45 5 more to elevate it to career offender? 6 7 MR. TRIPI: Right. We don't believe that it 8 would be elevated to a career offender. There's an outside 9 possibility that that would happen. If he's not a career offender, these would be the quidelines. These are the 16:21:00 10 11 quidelines we anticipate would likely be presented in the 12 presentence report. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Serrat, do you 13 14 have anything you want to add? 16:21:12 15 MR. SERRAT: No, Judge, other than I think it's already -- the court had ruled. We will agree to it as 16 17 well, the enhancements, it's something that ultimately the 18 court will make a decision at sentencing. Other than that, 19 that is our understanding of the guidelines as well, Your 16:21:23 20 Honor. 21 THE COURT: I want to indicate to Mr. Holland, 22 no matter where the range is finally fixed, I still have an 23 additional duty to decide whether I should vary either 24 upward or downward. It's not very often I do either, but I 16:21:52 25 want you to understand that I have the additional power.

1	Do you understand?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: So the presentence report if
4	you plead guilty, the presentence report becomes pretty
16:22:02 5	important, because I want to know as much about every
6	defendant I sentence I can learn, and I get that basically
7	out of the presentence report.
8	So it sounds to me like at least it may well
9	turn out that the sentencing range is 84 to 105 months.
16:22:25 10	Is that your understanding?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Do you understand you have the
13	right to argue that it should be modified in some fashion?
14	And I'm the one that makes that decision, but only after I
16:22:35 15	see the presentence report and hear argument of counsel.
16	Do you understand that?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
18	THE COURT: Do you have any questions about
19	the sentencing consequences if you do decide to plead
16:22:45 20	guilty?
21	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
22	THE COURT: If you do plead guilty, will that
23	plea of guilty be voluntary on your part?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
16:22:52 25	THE COURT: Will it be an exercise of your own

1	free will?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Has anybody forced or threatened
4	you to plead guilty?
16:22:59 5	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
6	THE COURT: Now, I also have what's called an
7	addendum to the change of plea that bears your signature,
8	and what it means is that you have reserved to yourself the
9	right to challenge on appeal my earlier rulings on the
16:23:22 10	motion to suppress.
11	Do you understand that?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: And if and so the Court of
14	Appeals, if it's if there is a challenge to my earlier
16:23:37 15	ruling, the court is going to make that decision without
16	regard to the fact that you entered a plea of guilty. They
17	have to decide as a matter of law when I'm right or wrong.
18	Do you understand that?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
16:23:48 20	THE COURT: So the fact that you're entering
21	into a conditional plea of guilty means that if the Sixth
22	Circuit should decide I was wrong, they send the case back
23	and you're allowed to withdraw your plea.
24	Do you understand that?
16:24:07 25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Do you have any questions about
2	that?
3	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
4	THE COURT: I think you understand that an
16:24:25 5	order of restitution would follow a plea of guilty if I find
6	that the amount that's alleged to have been taken in the
7	robbery is what the proof is, and that would mean that if
8	you're sent to prison, while you're in prison, that I could
9	require you to spend use some of the money you get from
16:24:45 10	working in the prison toward restitution, but when you came
11	out and were on supervised release, you would have a
12	continuing duty to make restitution until it was paid.
13	Do you understand that?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
16:24:57 15	THE COURT: Any questions about the
16	restitution?
17	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
18	THE COURT: I would ask counsel for the
19	government, is there anything further you think I need to
16:25:09 20	review with the defendant before I ask him for his plea?
21	MR. TRIPI: Your Honor, other than the factual
22	basis.
23	THE COURT: That's right. I completely forgot
24	about the factual basis.
16:25:23 25	Let me explain the factual basis. Before I

1	can accept a plea of guilty, I have to be informed what are
2	the facts that support the plea of guilty.
3	And so I can't find the defendant guilty
4	simply upon his plea, but I have to find separately from the
16:25:42 5	plea that there is a factual basis to support the plea of
6	guilty.
7	So I am going to ask counsel for the
8	government to tell me what the factual basis is for Counts
9	1, 3, 4 and 5. And thank you for correcting me on that.
16:25:56 10	Not correcting me, but reminding me that I missed that very
11	important part.
12	MR. TRIPI: Your Honor, specifically more
13	detailed as to Count 1, as to all of the strike that.
14	I have some general comments as to the overall
16:26:11 15	offense conduct, and then as to each specific count, I will
16	provide the court additional factual basis.
17	If this matter were to proceed to trial, we
18	would have witnesses and exhibits which would establish the
19	following beyond a reasonable doubt: That an investigation
16:26:25 20	by the FBI commenced on November
21	THE COURT: Why don't you indicate which
22	are you talking about all the counts?
23	MR. TRIPI: All the counts.
24	THE COURT: Because I want the defendant to
16:26:32 25	have the indictment in front of him so he can know which

counts you're talking about.

16:26:41 5

16:26:59 10

16:27:17 15

16:27:40 20

16:27:57 25

MR. SERRAT: We do, Judge. We have one.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TRIPI: That an investigation by the FBI had commenced on November 13, 2010 and revealed that two individuals were responsibile for committing several bank robberies. Descriptions by the witnesses established that they were the same individuals, based on the description given by witnesses and video surveillance. Specifically, both wore hat and scarf, but both had prominent noses in the surveillance photographs. However, one was much taller than the other.

On January 13, 2011, Matthew Holland and Richard Persa, who we believe to be Holland's cousin and roommate, were arrested by the Cleveland Police Department.

On the following day, both Holland and Richard Michael Persa were interviewed by the FBI in separate interviews and -- by the FBI and two deputized federal agents of the Cleveland Cuyahoga County Violent Crimes Task Force.

Both admitted to the bank robberies charged in the indictment and gave details on how the robberies were committed. The modus operandi was essentially the same.

One individual would walk into the bank while the second stayed outside in the vehicle acting as a lookout and getaway car.

16:28:11 5

16:28:29 10

16:28:43 15

16:29:06 20

16:29:23 25

The individual going inside the bank would hand the teller a note stating that he had a gun and that it was a robbery, demanding money without a dye pack and listed the denominations that they wanted, that is, 100s, 50s, 20s and 10s. They used the same vehicle, which was a van that had been stolen from the Fleet Avenue/Broadway Avenue area of Cleveland.

Specifically as to Count 1, we would be able to demonstrate on November 13, 2010, in the Northern District of Ohio, both individuals took United States currency from the person and presence of tellers and other employees of the U.S. Bank located at 2132 Brookpark Road, Cleveland, Ohio.

The investigation revealed that Persa walked into the bank while Matthew Holland waited outside acting as a lookout and a getaway driver. Persa was wearing a hat and scarf covering his face, handed a note to the teller demanding money. Persa was given approximately \$3,703 of monies belonging to the bank, the deposits of which were then insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or the FDIC.

And as to -- you know, for purposes of understanding why he's not charged in Count 2, evidence indicated that Richard Michael Persa committed a separate bank robbery which was the subject of Count 2 in which he's

pled quilty to.

As to Count 3, evidence would show that on December 15, 2010, in the Northern District of Ohio, Matthew J. Holland walked into the Key Bank located at 6300 Brookpark Road in Cleveland, Ohio. Persa acted as a lookout man and getaway driver. Approximately \$1,760 was stolen by Holland during the robbery. Key Bank was insured by the FDIC at that time. A note was used in that -- in that robbery.

As to Count 4, on December 22, 2010, in the Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division, Richard Michael Persa walked into the Fifth Third Bank located at 7414 Broadway Road, Parma, Ohio, while Matthew J. Holland stayed outside acting as a lookout man and getaway driver. Persa obtained approximately \$5,145 in monies belonging to the bank, the deposits of which were then insured by the FDIC.

As to Count 5, on December 30, 2010, in the Northern District of Ohio, Richard Michael Persa and Matthew J. Holland both walked into the Key Bank located at 3370 West 117th Street, Cleveland, Ohio. Persa left the bank, but Matthew Holland was successful in taking from a teller approximately \$5,070 in monies that were under the care and possession of said bank, the deposits of which were then insured by the FDIC.

Notes were used in both Count 4 and Count 5,

16:30:02 10

16:29:41 5

16:30:23 15

16:30:46 20

16:31:09 25

as well.

16:31:27 5

16:31:45 10

16:32:07 15

16:32:28 20

16:32:40 25

Your Honor, the photographic evidence of the surveillance photograph from each of the banks would consistently show the faces of either -- or portions of the faces of either Mr. Persa or Mr. Holland; in other words, the photographic evidence is consistent, although personal identification of each individual was not made by the individual tellers in these cases because they were, again, covering portions of the face with a scarf and with a hat.

Your Honor, the evidence would also indicate that obviously we would not go forward with the contention of Mr. Persa as part of our proof, but Mr. Holland gave detailed information to the FBI that included identification of himself and Persa -- excuse me, identification of himself in surveillance photographs and indicating which notes he had personally prepared and which notes were prepared by Persa, or prepared by the other bank robber.

THE COURT: Thank you. The court finds there is a factual basis to Counts 1, 3, 4 and 5. Is there anything else I need to review from the government's standpoint?

MR. TRIPI: I don't believe there's anything else other than --

THE COURT: Mr. Serrat, is there anything?

MR. SERRAT: No, Judge, I don't.

1	THE COURT: Now, before I proceed any further,
2	Mr. Holland, do you have any questions that you would like
3	to direct to me before you proceed?
4	THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
16:32:56 5	THE COURT: Is there anything you would like
6	to discuss in private with your lawyer before we proceed?
7	THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Are you ready for me to ask you
9	for your pleas to Counts 1, 3, 4 and 5?
16:33:09 10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
11	THE COURT: Do you want me to read the text,
12	or do you want to waive the reading of the text of those
13	counts, 1, 3, 4 and 5?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Waive.
16:33:16 15	THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Holland, how do
16	you plead to Count 1 in the indictment in Case Number
17	1:11cr70, which charges you with bank robbery on or about
18	November 13, 2010?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
16:33:30 20	THE COURT: Mr. Holland, how do you plead to
21	Count 3, which charges you with bank robbery on or about
22	December 15, 2010?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
24	THE COURT: And, Mr. Holland, how do you plead
16:33:42 25	to Count 4, which charges you with bank robbery on or about

1 December 22, 2010? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Holland, how do you plead to 4 Count 5, which charges you with bank robbery on or about December 30, 2010? 16:33:54 5 6 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 7 THE COURT: The court finds that there's a 8 factual basis to support the four pleas of quilty. The 9 court finds the defendant understands the constitutional rights to which he's entitled and which are relevant in this 16:34:07 10 11 matter. The court finds that the defendant understands the 12 sentencing consequences, and the court finds there's a 13 factual basis to support the pleas of guilty to each of the four counts. So, therefore, the court finds the defendant 14 16:34:21 15 quilty as charged in Counts 1, 3, 4 and 5. 16 I would like to confer with counsel now for a 17 date for sentencing. 18 (Thereupon, a sidebar discussion was had.) 19 THE COURT: Mr. Holland, I'm going to schedule 16:35:58 20 your sentencing for the noon hour on October 6, 2011. 21 The next step will be for me to order a 2.2 presentence report. The probation department will prepare 23 that report. It's very important. That report accompanies 24 you to prison. You want to make sure it's accurate. 16:36:24 25 The first thing that will happen is you will

be interviewed and your lawyer will make sure he's available for the interview by probation. Then they will prepare the report. And in advance of October 6, the proposed report will come out to counsel. They will have an opportunity to review it, and if they think there's something missing or wrong, they can bring that to the attention of the probation department.

I am asking you to look at it very carefully, because if you think there's something missing or wrong, make sure that Mr. Serrat knows about that, because the report is not only going to consider the offense conduct, they're also going to ask you if you have anything to say that constitutes an acceptance of responsibility. And I am sure Mr. Serrat will counsel you on that.

But one thing I want to caution you against, if you -- and this happens from time to time. Defendants will plead guilty and they will go to the probation department and say, "Well, I pled guilty but I wasn't really guilty," and et cetera, et cetera. Well, you just forfeited acceptance of responsibility. So you want to be sure you don't do that, because it harms the eventual sentence, because you need that acceptance of responsibility to adjust the guidelines downward, and I believe you understand that.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And then it's going to -- the

16:36:42 5

16:36:55 10

16:37:13 15

16:37:29 20

16:37:45 25

1	presentence report is going to consider your criminal
2	history, and if you think that's wrong, make sure Mr. Serrat
3	knows about it.
4	They're also going to consider your education,
16:37:59 5	and you told me you went to college, so they will want to
6	know about that. They will consider and they'll want to
7	know about your employment history, your health. If there
8	had been problems with your health, they're going to want to
9	know about that. They're going to ask questions about your
16:38:12 10	family.
11	So it's a rather complete document, and that
12	will go with you to the Bureau of Prisons, so you want to be
13	sure it's a very accurate document.
14	Any questions about that?
16:38:21 15	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
16	THE COURT: Is there anything further to come
17	before the court today?
18	MR. TRIPI: No, Your Honor.
19	MR. SERRAT: No, Judge.
16:38:27 20	THE COURT: Thank you. Court will be in
21	recess.
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I certify that the foregoing is a correct
4	transcript from the record of proceedings in the
5	above-entitled matter.
6	
7	
8	s/Lori A. Callahan
9	Lori Ann Callahan, RMR-CRR U.S. District Court, Suite 568
LO	2 South Main Street Akron, Ohio 44308
L1	(330) 252-6022
L2	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L 6	
L7	
L8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	